

21 August 2001

Binary Codes for Counting Digital Topologies in \mathbf{Z}^n

Atsushi Imiya
Chiba University
Chiba, Japan

email: imiya@media.imit.chiba-u.ac.jp

We address an open problem for the computation of exact numbers of digital topologies (as defined for image analysis, see [2]) in n -dimensional orthogonal grid space \mathbf{Z}^n , for $n \geq 2$. These topologies are defined by Hamilton loops on the n -dimensional hypercube

$$\mathbf{B}_n = \{\mathbf{p}_i = (\epsilon_1^i, \epsilon_2^i, \dots, \epsilon_n^i)^\top : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1 \wedge \epsilon_k^i \in \{0, 1\}\}. \quad (1)$$

\mathbf{B}_n can be represented by a graph with 2^n vertices labeled from 0 to $2^n - 1$ in such a way that there is an edge between any two nodes iff the binary representation of their labels differs in exactly one bit. A k -dimensional hypercube consists of two $(k - 1)$ -dimensional hypercubes with edges between corresponding ('identical') vertices in both $(k - 1)$ -dimensional hypercubes. The hypercube graph is complete with valency n . The n neighbors of vertex $a_{n-1}a_{n-2} \cdots a_0$ are $a_{n-1}a_{n-2} \cdots \bar{a}_i \cdots a_0$.

A Hamilton loop is defined by orienting all edges between vertices \mathbf{p}_i and \mathbf{p}_j of the hypercube, representing a closed path $\mathbf{p}_0 \cdots \mathbf{p}_{2^n-1}, \mathbf{p}_0$. Let a_{ij} be an encoding of these orientations with $a_{ij} = 1$ if there is an oriented edge from \mathbf{p}_i to \mathbf{p}_j , $a_{ij} = -1$ if there is an oriented edge from \mathbf{p}_j to \mathbf{p}_i , and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} a_{i,i+1} = 0, \quad \text{with } a_{2^n-1, 2^n} = a_{2^n-1, 0} \quad (2)$$

and $a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i+2}a_{i+2,i+3} \neq 111$, for any vertex i of the hypercube.

The enumeration problem of topologies in \mathbf{Z}^n has been formulated in combinatorics in two different ways [1]:

- (1) determine all Hamilton loops on the n -dimensional hypercube, or
- (2) determine any a_{ij} -sequence such that the sum of codes is zero excluding triples $a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i+2}a_{i+2,i+3} = 111$.

Approach (1) has been solved for $n = 2, 3, 4$, setting

$$p_i = \epsilon_1^i + 2\epsilon_2^i + \cdots + 2^{n-1}\epsilon_n^i. \quad (3)$$

For $n = 2$ the only possible Hamilton (or Euler) loop is 0132, a Hamilton loop for $n = 3$ is 01326754, and for $n = 4$ we have (in hexagonal numbers)

01326754*CDFEAB*98 as a possible Hamilton loop. The second approach (2) may utilize the definition

$$H_{k+1} = H_2 \otimes H_k, \text{ with } H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

of Hadamard matrices which do not have row vectors with three 1's in succession.

The k -bit binary reflected Gray code, denoted by G_k , is recursively defined by $G_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $G_i = \{g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{2^i-1}\}$, and

$$G_{i+1} = \{0g_0, 0g_1, \dots, 0g_{2^i-1}, 1g_{n-1}, 1g_{2^i-2}, \dots, 1g_0\}.$$

The binary reflected Gray code is periodic. It defines a bijection from elements of a Hadamard matrix H_n to nodes on a hypercube graph \mathbf{B}_n . Hadamard matrices contain redundancies for the expression of topologies in \mathbf{Z} .

We illustrate representations of hypercubes by Hadamard matrices, using symbols + and - to stand for 1 and -1. The matrices for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are as follows:

$$H_1 = \begin{matrix} + & + \\ + & - \end{matrix} \quad H_2 = \begin{matrix} + & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & - \\ + & + & - & - \\ + & - & - & + \end{matrix} \quad (5)$$

$$H_3 = \begin{matrix} + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & - & + & - & + & - \\ + & + & - & - & + & + & - & - \\ + & - & - & + & + & - & - & + \\ + & + & + & + & - & - & - & - \\ + & - & + & - & - & + & - & + \\ + & + & - & - & - & - & + & + \\ + & - & - & + & - & + & + & - \end{matrix} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
+ & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & + \\
+ & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & + & - \\
+ & + & - & - & + & + & - & - & + & + & - & - & + & + & - & - \\
+ & - & - & + & + & - & - & + & + & - & - & + & + & - & - & + \\
+ & + & + & + & - & - & - & - & + & + & + & + & - & - & - & - \\
+ & - & + & - & - & + & - & + & + & - & + & - & - & + & - & + \\
+ & + & - & - & - & - & + & + & + & + & - & - & - & - & + & + \\
+ & - & - & + & - & + & + & - & + & - & - & + & - & + & + & - \\
+ & + & + & + & + & + & + & + & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
+ & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & + \\
+ & + & - & - & + & + & - & - & - & - & + & + & - & - & + & + \\
+ & - & - & + & + & - & - & + & - & + & + & - & - & + & + & - \\
+ & + & + & + & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & + & + & + & + \\
+ & - & + & - & - & + & - & + & - & + & - & + & + & - & + & - \\
+ & + & - & - & - & - & + & + & - & - & + & + & + & + & - & - \\
+ & - & - & + & - & + & + & - & - & + & + & - & + & - & - & +
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

If we eliminate all rows containing triplets $+++$, we obtain an upperbound $2^n - 2^{n-2}$ for the number of possible digital topologies in \mathbf{Z}^n .

The open problem is: Improve this upper bound or show that this is the exact number of digital topologies in \mathbf{Z}^n .

References

- [1] R. L. Graham, M. Gröschel, and L Lovaász, *Handbook of Combinatorics*, Vols. 1 and 2, Horth-Holland, Elsevier;Amsterdam, 1995.
- [2] K. Voss, *Discrete Images, Objects, and Functions*, Springer-Verlag;Berlin, 1993.